

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

A DDI 10 A CTION		www.uspto.gov		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
10/604,004	06/20/2003		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
24253 759	590 11/30/2004	Marvin Yakos	1031005	1003
JOEL I ROSENBLATT 445 11TH AVENUE INDIALANTIC, FL 32903			EXAMINER ALPERT, JAMES M	
-5.4.116	, 1 L 32903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Attach	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bureau (PC) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ave been received. ave been received in Applications are the decomposite of the process of the pr	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) ice Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this National Stage) .
	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bureau (PC * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bureau (PC * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bureau (PC * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bureau (PC * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bureau (PC * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copi	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ave been received. ave been received in Applications are the decomposite of the process of the pr	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) ice Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this National Stage).
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prices a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of the priori	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ove been received.	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) ice Action or form PTO-152.).
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) ice Action or form PTO-152.).
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) ice Action or form PTO-152.).
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prical All b) Some ★ c) None of:	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d ice Action or form PTO-152.).
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ns required if the drawing(s) is niner. Note the attached Off	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d ice Action or form PTO-152.).
1	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	is required if the drawing(s) is niner. Note the attached Off	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d ice Action or form PTO-152.) .
Pric	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Fxam	is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1 121(d) .
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	ot year note in abeyance.		
1	objection to the dra	wing(s) be held in abovenee	See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	ted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on	•		
'				
An	oplication Papers	section requirement.		
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e	election reserv		
	/)L Claim(s) is/are objected to			
	6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.		•	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawi	n from consideration.		
	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.			
	Top Country of Ciallis			
ם	closed in accordance with the practice under Expression of Claims	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	ı
	The application is in condition for allower	00 00:00 10	s, prosecution as to the merits	is ·
	The delicit is FINAL.			
	1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Ju</u> 2a) □ This action is FINAL . 2b) □ This	<u>ine 2003</u> .		
5	Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	y within the statutory minimum of thirty	(30) days will be considered times.	tion.
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE <u> </u>	ONTH(S) FROM	
	A SHURIENED STATISTORY DEDICAR FOR THE		correspondence address	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	James Alpert pears on the cover sheet wi	3624	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
.	Office Action Summary	10/604,004	YAKOS, MARVIN	S ¹
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	

DETAILED ACTION

The application has been reviewed, and Claims 1-20 are pending. The objections and rejections are as stated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

.35_U.S.C._101-reads-as-follows:--

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to a non-statutory subject matter. Specifically the method claims as presented do not claim a technological basis in the pre-amble and the body of the claim. Without a claimed basis, the claim may be interpreted in an alternative as involving no more than a manipulation of an abstract idea and therefore non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. In contrast, a method claim that includes in the body of the claim structural / functional interrelationship which can only be computer implemented is considered to have a technological basis [See Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1669, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001) - used only for content and reasoning since not precedential].

In order to over come the 101 rejections above, the following preamble is suggested: "A computer implemented method for ...", or something similar. Also, in the body of the claim include structural/functional interrelationships that can only be computer implemented. Computer implementation is only type of technological basis, and there are other possibilities. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McNee, United States Patent Application Publication #20030065572.

With regard to Claims 1, 12, McNee teaches a system and method comprising:

identifying system participants and means for identifying one or more customers or for identifying one or more organizations with a cause; (Para. 32 Claim 1(c))

identifying one or more customers or one or more organizations with a cause and producing and recording transaction data related to said one or more customers or said one or more organizations with a cause; and (Para. 32 Claim 1(b) and 1(e))

receiving said transaction data indicative of transactions related to said one or more customers or said one or more organization with a cause, for producing credit data indicative of a part of said transactions to be credited to a respective system participant. (Para. 32 Claim 1(d).)

With regard to Claims 2, 13, McNee teaches a system and method comprising:

said step of producing credit data, producing separate respective credit data for separate respective system participants or said credit data with separate respective credit allowances. (Para. 32 Claims 3)

With regard to Claims 3, 14, McNee teaches a system and method comprising:

said step of identifying system participants-includes the step of identifying an account manager (Figure #1 Item 18)

said step of producing credit data includes the step of producing credit data indicative of at least a part of said transactions to be credited to said account manager. (Para. 24 lines 1-8)

With regard to Claims 4, 15, McNee teaches a system and method comprising:

said step of producing separate respective credit data for separate respective system participants includes the step of producing said separate respective credit data directly from said transaction data or indirectly in response to said credit data. (Para. 31 lines 1-23)

With regard to Claims 5, 16, McNee teaches a system and method comprising:

said step of producing credit data, producing separate respective credit data for separate respective system participants or said credit data with separate respective credit allowances, includes the step of producing separate respective credit data for separate respective transactions. (Para. 32 Claim 1(f))

With regard to Claims 6, 17, McNee teaches a system and method comprising:

said step of producing credit data, producing separate respective credit data for separate respective system participants or said credit data with separate respective credit allowances, includes the step of producing said credit data for vendors with a preferred presence with one or more system participants or for vendors with an associated presence with one or more system participants. (Para. 29 lines 9-12)

With regard to Claims 7, 18, McNee teaches a system and method comprising:

the step of identifying said transactions with system participants acting in said transactions and system participants reacting to said transactions.

(Para. 23 lines 1-9, Para. 24 lines 1-8)

With regard to Claims 8, 19, McNee teaches a system and method comprising:

at least some of said system participants reacting to said transactions receive credit data derived from credit data credited to said system participants acting in said transactions. (Para. 23 lines 1-9, Para. 24 lines 1-8)

With regard to Claims 9, McNee teaches a data-processing system comprising:

a central data processing site connected to a communications system; (Figure 1 item #10)

a customer data processing site connected to said communications system; (Para. 22 lines 1-5)

a plurality of organizations with a cause sites connected to said communications system; (Figure 1 item #16)

said customer data processing site producing data indicative of a transaction for a designated respective organization with a cause, in response to a customer's purchase order or donation order, entered through said customer data processing site; (Para. 22 lines 5-9)

said central data processing site, responsive to said data indicative of transaction, producing organization with a cause credit data indicative of at least a part of or percentage of the amount of said transaction credited to said designated respective organization with a cause site; and (Para. 24 lines 1-3)

said central data processing site producing a record of said organization with a cause credit data. (Para. 32, Claims 2 and 3)

With regard to Claim 10, McNee teaches a data-processing system comprising:

an account manager site; and (Figure 1 item #10)

said central data processing site responsive to said data indicative of a transaction, producing account manager credit data indicative of at least a pad of or percentage of the amount of said transaction credited to said account manager site; and (Para. 24 lines 1-3)

said central data processing site producing a record of said account manager credit data; (Para. 32, Claims 2 and 3)

With regard to Claim 11, McNee teaches a data-processing system comprising:

a preferred vendor site connected to said communication system; (Figure 1 item #24

a associated vendor site, connected to said communication system; (Figure 1 item 14)

said preferred vendor site related to said central processing site or to said plurality of organizations with a cause sites, by a primary communication link and said associated vendor site related to said central processing site or to said plurality of organizations with a cause sites, by a secondary communications link; and (Para. 22 lines 1-33)

said central data processing site, responsive to said data indicative of transaction, producing preferred vendor or associated vendor credit data related to said primary and secondary communications links. (Para. 26 lines –18)

With regard to Claim 20, McNee teaches a system and method comprising:

identifying a primary vendor and an associate vendor, related to said transaction data, producing preferred vendor or associated vendor credit data related to said primary and secondary identification. (Para. 20 lines 1-17)

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- a) Ogilve, U.S. Patent #631358, Oct. 7, 2003, Promoting Savings by Facilitating Incremental Commitments Made with Credit Card and Other Consumer-Initiated Transactions.
- b) Bezos, U.S. Patent #6029141, Feb. 22, 2000, Internet-Based Customer Referral Services.
- c) Baker et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication #2040093302, May 13, 2004, System and Method for Providing Logistics for a Sale or Transfer of Goods with Proceeds Provided to a Third Party.

Any-inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Alpert whose telephone number is (703) 305-

4001. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application-may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James M. Alpert November 17, 2004 Vineas Mille

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600